

Legal challenges of artificial intelligence the example of the insurance sector

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Christian Armbrüster



- I. What is artificial intelligence?
- II. Al as a legal entity?
- III. Al and data protection law
- IV. Al and insurance supervision
- V. Outlook



I. What is artificial intelligence?

- Imitation of human behaviour by analysing data through automated processes
- Not the same type of data processing as the term big data
 - > Big data is a generic term for large and partly complex data sets
- Two types of AI:
 - > Strong AI: sets itself independent goals, performs intellectual transfers, reacts flexibly to new situations and topics
 - > Weak AI: facilitating or replacing previously human routine work with algorithmbased technology

Freie Universität Berlin

I. What is artificial intelligence?

- More scientists and practitioners are working on deep learning projects
 - > Learning or self-learning neutral networks
- Disadvantages of normal algorithms:
 - > Only as effective as they have been programmed
 - > Requires an exact idea of what one wants to achieve
- Partial detachment of the human decision-making process
 - To be **replaced** and **taken over** by Al
 - > All is enabled to learn independently
- Great opportunities, but also considerable risks
 - > Result is more diverse and more intelligent
 - Greater economic benefit with less transparency



- I. What is artificial intelligence?
- II. Al as a legal entity?
- III. Al and data protection law
- IV. Al and insurance supervision
- V. Outlook

II. Al as a legal entity?



- "e-person" does not yet exist
- Such a regulation meets with **considerable reservations**:
 - > EU Parliament uses the term robot in a very broad sense
 - Person with legal capacity can hardly act sensibly in legal transactions without his own liability mass
 - Excludes the possibility of considering the robot as a legal representative in the sense of § 164 BGB (German Civil Code)
 - Representative is liable himself according to § 179 BGB in the absence of power of representaion
 - Solution could be the creation of an insurance obligation for robots
- Partial legal capacity is also proposed



II. Al as a legal entity?

- **BGH** (Federal Court of Justice): The interpretation of a declaration of intent made by an automated booking system does not depend on the interpretation by the computer, but on the human will behind it.
- Al is designed by humans for specific tasks only
- It can optimize the path to the goal, it cannot yet develop its own goals
 - > If the goal is not achieved, this is attributed to the business risk of the user
 - In case of **programming errors**, warranty or **product liability claims** can be considered

Agenda



- I. What is artificial intelligence?
- II. Al as a legal entity?
- III. Al and data protection law
- IV. Al and insurance supervision
- V. Outlook



- No verbal explanation of the decision-making process poses data protection law challenges
- Decisions made by AI must meet the requirements of Art. 22 General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)
 - > Scope of application only decisions which do not involve human influence
 - Main purpose is the participation of the contracting party in automated declarations of intent
- Art. 22 GDPR contains a prohibtion of automated individual decisions
 - Exceptional cases in para. 2
 - Para. 2 lit. a and lit. c in combination with para. 3 are of particular practical significance
 - Could the act also be performed by a natural person
 - Would human processing be just as effective as a milder means



- Automated processes are more effective than the execution by clerks
 - Necessity must be assumed in most cases
- Insurers must observe the further requirements of Art. 22 para. 3 GDPR Right to:
 - Obtain human decision control
 - > Present one's own standpoint
 - > Challenge the decision



- Obligation to inform the affected about the automated decision and the underlying decision logic, Art. 13 para. 2 lit. f GDPR
 - > The latter includes the essential basic assumptions of the algorithm
- Unlike a score system Al-supported decisions are not only based on historical data
 - > Interlinked evaluations
 - > Requires high quality data
 - > Al must enjoy the best possible education
- The scoring law is not easily transferable to AI applications



- The insurer **should not** rely solely on what is legally permissible
- Art. 22 para. 3 GDPR also applies in the case of consent pursuant to Art. 22 para. 2 lit.
 c GDPR
 - ➤ It seems simpler to use the technology without consent by reference to Art. 22 para. 2 lit. a GDPR



- I. What is artificial intelligence?
- II. Al as a legal entity?
- III. Al and data protection law
- IV. Al and insurance supervision
- V. Outlook

Freie Universität Berlin

IV. Al and insurance supervision

- The insurer needs to provide transparency to the insurance supervisory authority
- Insurers must provide the information authorities require to fulfil their duties in Germany according to §§ 43 para. 1, 294 para. 1 Insurance Supervision Code (VAG)
- Main objective of supervision is the **protection of policyholders** and the **beneficiaries of insurance benefits** (§ 294 para. 1 VAG)
 - § 294 para. 2 s. 2 and para. 3 VAG determine compliance with laws important for insurance operations as the supervisory objective
 - Its purpose is to encompass only those provisions that refer to the main objective according to paragraph 1
 - o Art. 22 GDPR has such a close connection to policyholder protection
 - The supervisory authority **may** request the documents required to verify the automated declaration (§§ 43, 294 VAG)
 - ☐ This information must be **complete**, **up-to-date** and **accurate** (§ 43 para. 2 s. 1 VAG)



IV. Al and insurance supervision

- A policyholder cannot demand from the insurer for inspection the calculation formula of the algorithm used or exact details of its learning process
 - > Supervisory authority is entitled to do so
- The insurer cannot invoke the protection of its business secrets
 - Supervisory authority is subject to secrecy pursuant to § 309 VAG
- BaFin has not understood a review of algorithms essential to the insurance business as part of its supervisory activities
 - > Likely to change as the use of AI develops



- I. What is artificial intelligence?
- II. Al as a legal entity?
- III. Al and data protection law
- IV. Al and insurance supervision
- V. Outlook

V. Outlook



- Use of AI will be legally secure and ethically acceptable when an automated decision is fully comprehensible and objectively verifiable
- Not only insurance supervision will approach the subject more closely
- Insurers will have to enable themselves or with the help of externally procured know-how to better understand the decision-making processes controlled by Al
 - > requires investment in training and further education

V. Outlook



- It is important to **ensure** that the **use of AI** is **compliant with data protection**
- The protection against discrimination poses great challenges for Al
- A difficulty is how to deal with fairness in the settlement of claims
 - Previous claims history or other contracts existing with the insurer can be taken into account
 - This does not necessarily apply to subjective factors
 - > This may be a loss of human interaction or a gain in rationality in claims settlement
 - > Al still has its limits when it comes to reproducing human behaviour

V. Outlook



- Al, as it stands today, should **only** be used in areas of application that are **particularly** suitable for this purpose
 - > Large amounts of data
 - > Where people need a lot of time to make comparatively simple decisions
 - ➤ E.g. the digital claims processing for **minor damages** in the field of **motor vehicle insurance**

Thank you very much for your attention!